

CENTER FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES IN ECONOMICS (CDSE)

University of Mannheim
 L 9, 7 (Room 4.10)
 68131 Mannheim
 cdse@uni-mannheim.de
 http://gess.uni-mannheim.de/CDSE

Academic Director:
 Prof. Philipp Schmidt-Dengler, Ph.D.
 Phone: +49-621-181-1832
 Fax: +49-621-181-1874

Center Manager:
 Dr. Sandro Holzheimer
 Phone: +49-621-181-3206
 Fax: +49-621-181-2042

Evaluation Statistics

Course-Id: 729
 Course-Name: E701 Advanced Microeconomics 1 exercise group 3
 Course-Field: cdse
 Term: HWS 2013
 Lecturer(s): Conteduca
 Number of participants: 18
 complete/not complete: 14/4

1.1.1. The course was well structured

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	1	6	5	0	1	1
relativ:	7.14 %	42.86 %	35.71 %	0 %	7.14 %	7.14 %

1.1.2. The choice of topics was well explained by the instructor

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	3	4	3	0	1	3
relativ:	21.43 %	28.57 %	21.43 %	0 %	7.14 %	21.43 %

1.1.3. Recommended reading materials were useful in facilitating understanding of course content

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	1	1	6	0	1	5
relativ:	7.14 %	7.14 %	42.86 %	0 %	7.14 %	35.71 %

1.1.4. Instructor was well prepared

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	8	5	1	0	0	0
relativ:	57.14 %	35.71 %	7.14 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

1.1.5. Instructor provided the opportunity for discussions and questions

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	7	6	1	0	0	0
relativ:	50 %	42.86 %	7.14 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

1.1.6. Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	6	3	1	0	0
relativ:	28.57 %	42.86 %	21.43 %	7.14 %	0 %	0 %

1.1.7. Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	4	8	1	1	0	0
relativ:	28.57 %	57.14 %	7.14 %	7.14 %	0 %	0 %

1.1.8. Course details were announced in time

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	3	9	1	0	0	1
relativ:	21.43 %	64.29 %	7.14 %	0 %	0 %	7.14 %

1.1.9. The exercise sessions were very useful (if applicable)

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	2	8	0	1	3	0
relativ:	14.29 %	57.14 %	0 %	7.14 %	21.43 %	0 %

1.1.10. How much have you learned in this course compared to the other courses in this semester (on average)?

	much more	more	same	less	much less	no opinion
absolut:	0	3	4	4	2	1
relativ:	0 %	21.43 %	28.57 %	28.57 %	14.29 %	7.14 %

1.1.11. How much effort did you put into the preparation and wrap-up of the weekly course sessions?

	no effort	up to 1 hour	more than 1 to 2 hours	more than 2 to 4 hours	more than 4 hours	no opinion
absolut:	0	0	0	2	11	1
relativ:	0 %	0 %	0 %	14.29 %	78.57 %	7.14 %

1.1.12. The course content was difficult

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	11	3	0	0	0	0
relativ:	78.57 %	21.43 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

1.1.13. The teaching was good

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	3	6	4	0	1	0
relativ:	21.43 %	42.86 %	28.57 %	0 %	7.14 %	0 %

1.1.14. Overall, I am satisfied with the course

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
absolut:	0	4	5	1	4	0
relativ:	0 %	28.57 %	35.71 %	7.14 %	28.57 %	0 %

1.1.15. What grade do you expect in this course?

	1	2	3	4	5	no opinion
absolut:	0	1	4	3	2	4
relativ:	0 %	7.14 %	28.57 %	21.43 %	14.29 %	28.57 %

1.1.16. What did you especially like about the course?

the instructor was really motivated and interested in supporting us he did a great job

Instructor was very friendly and well prepared

- problems were discussed in detail - TA seemed well prepared

Francesco did a good job and was always well prepared.

1.1.17. What could/should be improved?

Exercises were way too long for the given amount of time. If the instructor had had the time to explain what he was doing, the exercise could actually have been useful, but unfortunately he was just copying his answers to the board, afraid to run out of time. (However, he tried to answer every question we had). Even at this speed, we could only finish about half of the exercises. Solutions to exercises not covered would be very helpful.

Since the assignments are so long its not possible to discuss them in detail without being too fast. The Prof. should think about shortening the assignments such that it becomes possible to discuss them in class.

Content was too difficult We never managed to finish the problem set on time

- we did not finish the problem sets - at some points, things do not have to be laid out so much in detail (e.g. next time, do not write the exercise questions on the board to save some time). - use bullet points instead of a written text to make things shorter - sometimes a wrap up about the intuition behind the proofs would have been useful (why do we want to prove what?).

More relevant exercises. This was just to keep us occupied at times. We really should get the solutions beforehand so were not occupied with simply copying things from the whiteboard.
